

# Eden Local Plan Examination Hearings 3-5 May 2017

## Draft Agenda

### Tuesday 2 May

#### Strategic Matters

1. Policy LS1 Locational Strategy

Is the FOAHN and consequent housing requirement being advanced fully justified?

Are the needs of self-builders adequately catered for?

2. Policy LS2 Housing Targets and Distribution.

Are the targets that are being advanced consistent with the proposals in adopted Neighbourhood Plans?

If not are the departures in proposed housing growth numbers justified?

Is the windfall allowance overall and in particular at Key Hubs, appropriate and fully justified?

Is the proportionate distribution of new housing between the different tiers in the settlement hierarchy founded upon a sound evidence base?

Should the target for the small villages and hamlets be increased?

Is the proposed distribution of housing growth between the three Market Towns fully justified?

#### Site Allocations

1. Penrith

Maidenhill,

Should Maidenhill be designated as a smaller village/hamlet?

Site E1 Carleton,  
Access issues.

Site N2, White Ox Farm,  
Noise considerations,  
Impact on landscape character and consistency with the first objective for Penrith.

Site N3, Raiselands,  
Noise considerations,  
Impact on landscape character and consistency with the first objective for Penrith.

2. Appleby

Need for additional development sites?

Sites AP10 and AP11, Land to the south of the A66, central and east,  
Suitability of the sites for residential development in the context of noise and atmospheric pollution.

Site AP17, Land to the south of the A66, west,  
Suitability of the site for residential development in the context of noise and atmospheric pollution.

Site AP18 Land at Battlebarrow,  
Availability and suitability of site for development.

3. Culgaith

Does the plan adequately provide for the proposed housing development at Culgaith?

Site at Station Road, Availability and suitability of site for development in the context of overall need at Culgaith.

4 Stainton

Need and justification for additional housing development?

Sites LST 3,4,5,6.

Availability and suitability of sites for development in the context of overall need at Stainton.